

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

LARRY SLUTSKY, WENDY SLUTSKY,
AND CLAYTON SLUTSKY, PRO SE,

Plaintiff(s),

--against--

KIM GUADAGNO, FORMER
MONMOUTH COUNTY SHERIFF, IN
HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICAL
CAPACITY, AND SUCCESSORS;
WILLIAM FRASER, WARDEN
MONMOUTH COUNTY CORRECTIONAL
INSTITUTION, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND
SUCCESSORS; MONMOUTH COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE; MONMOUTH
COUNTY CORRECTIONAL
INSITITUTION; JOHN AND/OR JANE
DOE(S),

Defendant(s).

Civil Action No.: 3:10-cv-5331-GEB-TJB

**DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY
ORDER**

RECEIVED

FEB 22 2011

AT 8:30 _____ M
WILLIAM T. WALSH
CLERK

It appearing that discovery in the above-captioned action is likely to involve the disclosure of confidential information including medical information, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as "Confidential" and subject to this Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any document or thing:

- (a) that contains private or confidential personal information including any and all medical, hospital, medical unit/infirmiry records, or
- (b) that contains information received in confidence from third parties, or
- (c) which the producing party otherwise believes in good faith to be entitled to protection under Rule 26(c)(1)(G) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5.3.

Any party to this litigation or any third party covered by this Order, who produces or discloses any Confidential material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend: "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER" (hereinafter "Confidential").

2. Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" and subject to this Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any document or thing that contains highly sensitive or personal information, the disclosure of which is highly likely to cause significant harm to an individual. Any party to this litigation or any third party who is covered by this Order, who produces or discloses any Attorneys' Eyes Only material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend: "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY – SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER" (hereinafter "Attorneys' Eyes Only").

3. All Confidential material shall be used by the receiving party solely for purposes of the prosecution or defense of this action, shall not be used by the receiving party for any personal or other purpose, and shall not be disclosed by the receiving party to anyone other than those set forth in Paragraph 4, unless and until the restrictions herein are removed either by written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court. It is, however, understood that counsel for a party may give advice and opinions to his or her client solely relating to the above-captioned action based on his or her evaluation of Confidential material, provided that such advice and opinions shall not reveal the content of such Confidential material except by prior written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court.

4. Confidential material and the contents of Confidential material may be disclosed only to the following individuals under the following conditions and, when appropriate, with execution of the Agreement To Be Bound By Discovery Confidentiality Order:

- a. Outside counsel (herein defined as any attorney at the parties' outside law firms) and relevant in-house counsel for the parties;
- b. Outside experts or consultants retained by outside counsel for purposes of this action, provided they have signed a non-disclosure agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- c. Secretarial, paralegal, clerical, duplicating and data processing personnel of the foregoing;
- d. The Court and court personnel;
- e. Any deponent may be shown or examined on any information, document or thing designated Confidential if it appears that the witness authored or received a copy of it, was involved in the subject matter described therein or is employed by the party who produced the information, document or thing, or if the producing party consents to such disclosure;
- f. Vendors retained by or for the parties to assist in preparing for pretrial discovery, trial and/or hearings including, but not limited to, court reporters, litigation support personnel, jury consultants, individuals to prepare demonstrative

and audiovisual aids for use in the courtroom or in depositions or mock jury sessions, as well as their staff, stenographic, and clerical employees whose duties and responsibilities require access to such materials; and

g. The parties. In the case of parties that are corporations or other business entities, "party" shall mean executives who are required to participate in decisions with reference to this lawsuit.

5. Confidential material shall be used only by individuals permitted access to it under Paragraph 4. Confidential material, copies thereof, and the information contained therein, shall not be disclosed in any manner to any other individual, until and unless (a) Pro Se parties or outside counsel for the party asserting confidentiality waives the claim of confidentiality, or (b) the Court orders such disclosure.

6. With respect to any depositions that involve a disclosure of Confidential material of a party to this action, such party shall have until thirty (30) days after receipt of the deposition transcript within which to inform all other parties that portions of the transcript are to be designated Confidential, which period may be extended by agreement of the parties. No such deposition transcript shall be disclosed to any individual other than the individuals described in Paragraph 4(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above and the deponent during these thirty (30) days, and no individual attending such a deposition shall disclose the contents of the deposition to any individual other than those described in Paragraph 4(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above during said thirty (30) days. Upon being informed that certain portions of a deposition are to be designated as Confidential, all parties shall immediately cause each copy of the transcript in its custody or control to be appropriately marked and limit disclosure of that transcript in accordance with Paragraphs 3 and 4.

7. Material produced and marked as Attorneys' Eyes Only may be disclosed only to outside counsel or Pro Se parties for the receiving party and to such other persons as counsel or Pro Se parties for the producing party agrees in advance or as Ordered by the Court.

8. If counsel for a party or Pro Se parties receiving documents or information designated as Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only hereunder objects to such designation of any or all of such items, the following procedure shall apply:

(a) Counsel or Pro Se parties for the objecting party shall serve on the designating party or third party a written objection to such designation, which shall describe with particularity the documents or information in question and shall state the grounds for objection. Counsel or Pro Se parties for the designating party or third party shall respond in writing to such objection within 14 days, and shall state with particularity the grounds for asserting that the document or information is Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only. If no timely written response is made to the objection, the challenged designation will be deemed to be void. If the designating party or nonparty makes a timely response to such objection asserting the propriety of the designation, counsel and Pro Se parties shall then confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the dispute.

(b) If a dispute as to a Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only designation of a document or item of information cannot be resolved by agreement, the proponent

of the designation being challenged shall present the dispute to the Court initially by telephone or letter, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 37.1(a)(1), before filing a formal motion for an order regarding the challenged designation. The document or information that is the subject of the filing shall be treated as originally designated pending resolution of the dispute.

9. All requests to seal documents filed with the Court shall comply with Local Civil Rule 5.3.

10. If the need arises during trial or at any Hearing before the Court for any party to disclose Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only information, it may do so only after giving notice to the producing party and as directed by the Court.

11. To the extent consistent with applicable law, the inadvertent or unintentional disclosure of Confidential material that should have been designated as such, regardless of whether the information, document or thing was so designated at the time of disclosure, shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of a party's claim of confidentiality, either as to the specific information, document or thing disclosed or as to any other material or information concerning the same or related subject matter. Such inadvertent or unintentional disclosure may be rectified by notifying in writing counsel for all parties to whom the material was disclosed that the material should have been designated Confidential within a reasonable time after disclosure. Such notice shall constitute a designation of the information, document or thing as Confidential under this Discovery Confidentiality Order.

12. When the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of any information, document or thing protected by privilege or work-product immunity is discovered by the producing party and brought to the attention of the receiving party, the receiving party's treatment of such material shall be in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). Such inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of such information, document or thing shall not by itself constitute a waiver by the producing party of any claims of privilege or work-product immunity. However, nothing herein restricts the right of the receiving party to challenge the producing party's claim of privilege if appropriate within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure.

13. No information that is in the public domain or which is already known by the receiving party through proper and legal means or which is or becomes available to a party from a source other than the party asserting confidentiality, rightfully in possession of such information on a non-confidential basis, shall be deemed or considered to be Confidential material under this Discovery Confidentiality Order.

14. This Discovery Confidentiality Order shall not deprive any party of its right to object to discovery by any other party or on any otherwise permitted ground. This Discovery Confidentiality Order is being entered without prejudice to the right of any party to move the Court for modification or for relief from any of its terms.

15. This Discovery Confidentiality Order shall survive the termination of this action and shall remain in full force and effect unless modified by an Order of this Court or by the written stipulation of the parties filed with the Court.

16. Upon final conclusion of this litigation, each party or other individual subject to the terms hereof shall be under an obligation to assemble and to return to the originating source all originals and unmarked copies of documents and things containing Confidential material and to destroy, should such source so request, all copies of Confidential material that contain and/or constitute attorney work product as well as excerpts, summaries and digests revealing Confidential material; provided, however, that counsel and Pro Se parties may retain complete copies of all transcripts and pleadings including any exhibits attached thereto for archival purposes, subject to the provisions of this Discovery Confidentiality Order. To the extent a party requests the return of Confidential material from the Court after the final conclusion of the litigation, including the exhaustion of all appeals therefrom and all related proceedings, the party shall file a motion seeking such relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



HONORABLE TONIA J. BONGIOVANNI,

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: 2/22/11

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

LARRY SLUTSKY, WENDY SLUTSKY,
AND CLAYTON SLUTSKY, PRO SE,

Plaintiff(s),

--against--

KIM GUADAGNO, FORMER
MONMOUTH COUNTY SHERIFF, IN
HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICAL
CAPACITY, AND SUCCESSORS;
WILLIAM FRASER, WARDEN
MONMOUTH COUNTY CORRECTIONAL
INSTITUTION, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND
SUCCESSORS; MONMOUTH COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE; MONMOUTH
COUNTY CORRECTIONAL
INSITITUTION; JOHN AND/OR JANE
DOE(S),

Defendant(s).

Civil Action No.: 3:10-cv-5331-GEB-TJB

**AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY
ORDER**

I _____, being duly sworn, state that:

1. My address is _____.
2. My present employer is _____ and the address of
my present employer is _____.
3. My present occupation or job description is _____.
4. I have carefully read and understood the provisions of the Discovery Confidentiality
Order in this case signed by the Court, and I will comply with all provisions of the
Discovery Confidentiality Order.

5. I will hold in confidence and not disclose to anyone not qualified under the Discovery Confidentiality Order any confidential material or any words, summaries, abstracts or indices of Confidential Information disclosed to me.
6. I will limit use of Confidential Material disclosed to me solely for the purpose of this action.
7. No later than the final conclusion of the case, I will return all Confidential Material and summaries, abstracts and indices thereof which come into my possession, and documents or things which I have prepared relating thereto, to counsel for the party for whom I was employed or retained.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: _____

[NAME]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

SLUTSKY et al (Pro Se),)
Plaintiff(s),)

Civil Action No.: 3:10-cv-05331-GEB-TJB

v.)

GUADAGNO et al,)

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF
DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER


Defendant(s).)

We, Larry Slutsky, Wendy Slutsky, and Clayton Slutsky do certify and submit this affidavit in support of Plaintiffs' application to the Court to enter the attached Discovery Confidentiality Order, which has been agreed upon with Counsel for the Defendants.

1. The nature of the materials to be kept confidential: This case will likely involve disclosure of confidential information, such as private medical information, including medical, hospital, and medical unit/infirmarary records. Medical records and information are clearly recognized by our society as being of a very private and personal nature, and are protected from unauthorized disclosure under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPPA).
2. The legitimate private or public interests which warrant confidentiality: The unauthorized disclosure of private medical information is prohibited by HIPPA and its use in litigation should be protected to the fullest extent possible, to fairly and justly serve both public and private interests. Accessibility to such sensitive, personal information beyond the judicial process, such as to non-litigants, would be individually very damaging and an undue deprivation of privacy rights without serving any legitimate public need.
3. The clearly defined and serious injury that would result should the order not be entered: If access to highly sensitive confidential information such as medical records is not protected by the requested confidentiality order, there is likely to be serious individual injury, including substantial risk of unfair and unjust stigmatization. To allow such unnecessary pain and suffering, which would likely be ongoing beyond the close of litigation, would not be in the interests of justice.

We certify that the foregoing statements are true and correct

DATED: 2/18/11


LARRY SLUTSKY, PRO SE


WENDY SLUTSKY, PRO SE


CLAYTON SLUTSKY, PRO SE